



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,450	12/26/2000	Reinhard Buendgen	DE9-1999-0087	4189	
877	7590 12/15/2004		EXAMINER		
IBM CORPORATION, T.J. WATSON RESEARCH CENTER P.O. BOX 218 YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598			ALI, SYED J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2127		
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/748,450	BUENDGEN, REINHARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Syed J Ali	2127			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 September 2004</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				

Application/Control Number: 09/748,450 Page 2

Art Unit: 2127

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed September 23, 2004. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.

### Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. In line 5 of claim 11, "east" should read "least".

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 6. The "distributed computer system" of claim 9 is software per se. The system is not embodied on any sort of physical medium and fails to recite any hardware as part of the system.

Art Unit: 2127

The components of the system are embodied wholly within software, and are not tangibly

Page 3

embodied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. Claims 1-2, 6-11, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Blelloch et al. (USPN 5,768,594) (hereinafter Blelloch).

As per claim 1, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for running 8.

in parallel at least one parallel method associated with a sequential caller program (col. 2 lines

19-23), said method comprising:

issuing a dedicated parallelization call to a parallel program manager (col. 2 lines 15-19;

col. 2 lines 28-37) comprising all control information needed to allow for running said parallel

method as a parallel program (col. 2 lines 23-27), wherein programming of said parallel program

manager and said parallel program are independent of the programming of said sequential caller

program in at least one of the following aspects: programming language, compilation, linkage,

and hardware platforms (col. 2 lines 15-19; col. 3 lines 52-55).

9. As per claim 2, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including the method

according to claim 1 further comprising:

serializing input arguments for a subprogram means (col. 4 lines 47-51); and

running said parallel method in parallel on a different machine yielding a result (col. 4

lines 59-65);

Art Unit: 2127

returning said result to the caller program (col. 4 line 66 - col. 5 line 1); and deserializing the result (col. 5 lines 1-7).

- 10. As per claim 6, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including the method according to claim 1 in which said dedicated parallelization call is done more than once during the run of said caller program means (col. 3 lines 55-63).
- 11. As per claim 7, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including the method according to claim 6 in which parallelization parameters are selectable for each dedicated parallelization call (col. 2 lines 28-37; col. 3 lines 55-63).
- 12. As per claim 8, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including the method according to claim 2 further comprising the step of using a program library which comprises program means for performing the steps of serializing input arguments, running said parallel method in parallel, returning said result and describing the result (col. 2 lines 14-37).
- 13. As per claim 9, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including a distributed computer system arranged for implementing the method of claim 1 (col. 2 lines 47-56).
- 14. As per claim 10, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including a computer program comprising code portions adapted for implementing the method of claim 1 (col. 2 lines 14-37).

Art Unit: 2127

15. As per claim 11, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including a computer

program product stored on a computer usable medium comprising a computer readable program

for causing a computer to perform the method of claim 1 (col. 2 lines 14-56).

16. As per claim 16, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including a parallel program

managing tool comprising program means for returning results from parallel executable

subprogram means (col. 2 lines 44-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. Claims 3-5 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Blelloch in view of Goldberg et al. (USPN 6,571,232) (hereinafter Goldberg).

18. As per claim 3, Goldberg teaches the invention as claimed, including the method

according to claim 1 further comprising the step of generating said parallel method with a script

program means which in turn is arranged to invoke a stream editor in order to fill a template

means with the code or the name of the method to be computed in parallel (col. 6 lines 41-63).

19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Blelloch and

Goldberg since using a script to compile or translate programs into a form suitable for a

particular element can be used to preprocess actions, thus speeding up considerably the time it

takes to execute actions. Rather than waiting until the program is loaded onto a processing

Page 5

Art Unit: 2127

element and then translating the code, the preprocessor generates the appropriate type of code

Page 6

before sending the application out.

20. As per claim 4, Goldberg teaches the invention as claimed, including the method

according to claim 3, further comprising the step of automatically generating an instantiation of

said template means (col. 3 lines 20-23).

21. As per claim 5, Goldberg teaches the invention as claimed, including the method

according to claim 4 in which a script is used for generating parallel subprograms (col. 6 lines

41-63).

22. As per claim 12, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including a program library

comprising at least one of:

an implementation of an application interface for procedural parallel operating

environment [POE] calls to a parallel program manager (col. 2 lines 15-19; col. 2 lines 28-37),

wherein programming of said application interface is independent of the programming of said

parallel program manager in at least one of the following aspects: programming language,

compilation, linkage, and hardware platforms (col. 2 lines 15-19; col. 3 lines 52-55).

23. Goldberg teaches the invention as claimed, including template means for parallel

subprogram means and script means for generating parallel subprograms (col. 6 lines 41-63).

Art Unit: 2127

- 24. As per claim 13, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including the library according to claim 12 which provides prerequisites to generate user library functions that make parallelism transparent to a caller of said user library functions (col. 2 lines 23-27).
- 25. As per claim 14, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including a user library generated by means of the library according to claim 12 (col. 2 lines 14-37).
- 26. As per claim 15, Blelloch teaches the invention as claimed, including the library according to claim 12 which said library is a dynamic link library (col. 2 lines 14-37).

## Response to Arguments

27. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

28. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2127

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Syed J Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3769. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5:30, 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai T An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Syed Ali

November 29, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 8